



120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10859-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.10.2025

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harshit Singla, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of writ in the nature of *Habeas Corpus* directing the official respondents to get detainees mentioned in paragraph No.3 of the petition, released from the illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has filed the present *Habeas Corpus* petition before this Court, seeking the immediate release of 5 detainees/bonded laborers. These detainees are family members and close relatives of the petitioner and are currently being illegally detained by respondents No. 4 to 6 at their brick-kiln, operating under the name "AKM, Kheranwali Road, Village Charnia, Police Station Pinjore, Panchkula, Haryana.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the detainees were contacted by Respondents Nos. 4 to 6 and entered into a contract for



the manufacturing of raw bricks at the rate of Rs.580/- per thousand bricks. However, the payment as agreed by the respondents was made only for a week and thereafter, they have stopped paying, rather started taking work forcibly. Instead, the respondents have started humiliating and harassing the petitioner and the alleged detenues with the help of musclemen hired by them. The petitioner, therefore, prays for the immediate release of the detenues, as detailed in the application/representation dated 03.10.2025 (Annexure P-1).

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2, who is the competent authority in terms of Section 16 and 17 of the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 (*hereinafter to be referred as 'the Act of 1976'*) is directed to take decision in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in the case of *Murti v. State of Punjab and others (LPA No. 32 of 2013, decided on 11.01.2013)*. The relevant extract of the said judgment reads thus:

"It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detenues mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos.4 & 5 are being kept as bonded labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bounded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set-



2025:PHHC:139190



aside/modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”

5. A further reference is also made to the order passed in the case of **Gurnam Singh v. State of Punjab and others (CRWP No. 4666 of 2020, decided on 08.07.2020)**, which reads thus:

“Accordingly, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to District Magistrate, Fazilka to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition.”

6. In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – District Magistrate, Panchkula (Haryana), to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as raised in the instant petition and in case any substance in the allegations is found true, then to take appropriate action under the Act of 1976, in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with copy of the criminal writ petition.

7. Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of in terms as aforesaid

8. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

06.10.2025

atulsethi

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No