2025:PHHC:139067-DB

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29530-2025 (O&M) Date of decision: 01.10.2025

M/S. BVG INDIA LTD. Versus

..... Petitioner(s)

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AMRITSAR AND OTHERS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. M. Gireesh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. D.V. Sharma, Senior Advocate with

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate

for respondents.

\*\*\*\*

- 1. Prayer in this writ petition is for setting aside action of respondent Corporation of accepting technical bid of consortium of respondents no.2 and 3 and consortium of respondents no.4 to 6, to be responsive/eligible in respect to "Tender no. H/420 dated 30.07.2025, bearing Tender ID No.2025\_DLG-146150\_2" pertaining to 'Development of Integrated Solid Waste Management, Collection, Transportation, Processing and Disposal for 44 Wards of Municipal Corporation, Amritsar (Territory 2) through Public Private Partnership'. Petitioner further seeks a direction to respondent no.1 to evaluate the bids strictly as per eligibility and evaluation criteria in the request for proposal.
- 2. It is submitted that petitioner company is engaged in integrated facility management, solid waste management, mechanized house keeping, gardening, security services and renewable energy solution. Pursuant to issuance of tender dated 30.07.2025, petitioner being fully eligible submitted

and 3 as well as consortium of respondents no.4 to 6 also submitted their tenders. Technical bids were opened on 28.08.2025 and were placed before Technical Evaluation Committee for consideration. Said Technical Evaluation Committee found all three bidders to be eligible/responsive as is recorded in the Minutes of its Meeting dated 05.09.2025. Financial bids were opened on 06.09.2025. Consortium of respondents no.2 and 3 was identified to be L-1. Present writ petition was filed on 19.09.2025 by petitioner with a grievance that consortium of respondents as mentioned in the foregoing paras has wrongly been accepted to be technically responsive/eligible. Various grounds have been raised by learned counsel for petitioner to contend that both the consortiums as above are technically ineligible for the reason that they do not meet eligibility conditions as prescribed in the Request For Proposal (RFP)/tender documents.

- 2. Learned counsel for respondents has sought to refute the arguments. However, we do not deem it appropriate at this stage to record arguments as addressed because we take note of the fact at this juncture that petitioner at no point of time has ever submitted any objection(s) before the authorities after opening of technical bids or even financial bids which were admittedly opened on 06.09.2025. Petitioner to the contrary has preferred to file present writ petition on 19.09.2025.
- 3. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, we do not find any ground whatsoever to cause interference at this stage at the instance of petitioner, who has not even approached the authorities for filing of present writ petition. In the given factual matrix, liberty is granted to petitioner to approach the authorities with objections/representation, if any.

-3-

## CWP-29530-2025 (O&M)

In case, such objection/representation is filed by petitioner within a week, same be decided by competent authority within a week thereafter by way of a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to petitioner through its authorized representative.

4. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL) JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA) JUDGE

01.10.2025

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No Whether reportable: Yes/No